FROM:	Secretary, Personnel Management Advisory Board - 1006	anagemer 5 Ames	ıt	EXTENSION	NO.	
TO: (C building	Secretary, Personnel Ma Advisory Board - 1000	anagemer 5 Ames	nt	EXTENSION	NO.	
TO: (C building	Secretary, Personnel Management Advisory Board - 1006	5 Ames	nt	EXTENSION	NO.	
building	Advisory Board - 1000	5 Ames	nt	ļ		I
building	Officer designation, room number, and 1)				DATE 3 DEC 1981	В Т/
1.		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
	Chief, DDO/CMS				At the EXCOM meeting in October 1981, OP was directed to	
2.	2C20_Hqs				provide an alternative to the recommendations made to revise the PAR system. We must report back	
3.	Harry Fitzwater DDA - 7D18 Hqs.				to the DDCI on 4 January 1982 with a proposed system, with no optional features, which will be applicable	
4.					to all Agency employees. (You may recall that we had recommended the AWP be made optional.) In view of	
5.	D/FBIS - 1013 Key				this deadline, we would like to hol a meeting on this subject as soon as possible and will be calling to	
6.	,				Tarrange for one sometime within the next two weeks.	ST/
7.	Charles A. Briggs IG - 6E18 Hqs					
8.]
9.	Clarus W. Rice OCR - 2E60 Hqs					
10.				٠.		
11.	AO/DCI - 7C17 Hqs					
12.						
13.	DDS&T/Admin - 6E34 Hqs					

FORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

15.

3 December 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR:

PMAB Members

FROM

STAT

Secretary, Personnel Management Advisory Board

SUBJECT

: Performance Appraisal System

1. Attached is a redesigned PAR form and proposed instruction sheet prepared in accordance with EXCOM approval of our original recommendation to simplify the form. Please note that we are at one page, with only one form that includes the Advance Work Plan concept. There is a block for the employee to initial acknowledgement that there has been a discussion of the duties and performance standard(s) (NOT PAR rating level) expected at the beginning of the rating period. At the meeting we wish to discuss this format and the means of accomplishing the principle of the Advanced Work Plan concept without requiring an additional piece of paper. Some suggestions:

- a. Have the PAR form provided at the <u>beginning</u> of the rating period rather than at the end so that the <u>duties</u> and standards expected may be discussed at that time with the employee acknowledging such a discussion on the PAR form itself. The supervisor would then hold the report until the end of the rating period when the appropriate PAR ratings would be added and the report completed.
- b. Allow supervisors the option to document the discussion concerning duties and standards in a memorandum for the record which would be retained in the supervisor's own file for the duration of the rating period and destroyed when the PAR is prepared.
- c. Allow Office Heads to publish through their own systems the performance standards expected of their employees office-wide for use in supervisor's discussion with the subordinates.

In considering the above suggestions, there are some important questions to keep in mind and that we should plan to discuss:

a. How great, if any, is the potential for misunderstandings of conversations concerning duties and standards expected without

a written agreement ("If you didn't understand, why didn't you ask?" "I thought I understood so there was no need to ask....")?

- b. How difficult will it be to devise valid performance standards for an entire office which would cover a variety of job categories? (Performance standard is not meant to be the PAR rating level, but the standard applied to the achieving of that level.)
- c. What are the problems caused by assignment or duty changes during the period a supervisor is holding the PAR form for employees?
- d. If supervisors document their discussions in a separate piece of paper, would it be better to provide a uniform format to do so?

STAT

Approved For Fase 2005/12/14: CIA-RDP92-00420R 0400040007-9 DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REPORT

Section A--General Information

- .This section will be pre-printed by computer.
- .No changes are to be made except for name changes or the reporting period, if necessary.

Section B--Qualifications Update
.Indicate whether employee's qualifications are updated during the reporting period and whether the update form is attached.

Section C--Key Job Elements--Employee
Acknowledgment

- The purpose of this section is to assure that employees are fully aware of all major aspects of their job and of the work performance expected of them by their supervisor. Employees should know their individual roles in the organizational component in which they work.
- Those elements of an employee's job that are essential to success in that job are called "key" elements. Key elements consist of a grouping of individual tasks and collectively cover the major duties and responsibilities of the position. List in order of importance the key job elements to be performed during the report period. Describe each job element clearly and in sufficient detail to provide a good understanding of its nature.
- .The employee also must be informed at the beginning of the report period of the performance standard(s) to be used in determining the rating level to be given for each of the key job elements listed. A standard is a description of what an employee must do to perform a specific task or job element at a specified level. It is, in fact, a yardstick that enables a determination whether the employee is meeting or exceeding established standards of performance. The same standard should be used to measure the work performance of employees whose key job elements are the same. However, jobs of the same general kind but at different grade levels should have different performance standards.

.The employee signature in this section acknowledges that he or she has been informed of the key elements of the job and of the standards the supervisor will use in providing ratings at the close of the report period.

Section D--Overall Employee Performance
Rating

.The overall performance rating should represent the total effectiveness of the individual in fulfilling the requirements of the job. Each job element should be given its appropriate weight so that the overall rating is not necessarily an average of the rating level given to each job element. In addition, all other job-related factors should be considered, e.g., the quantity and quality of the work produced; the amount of supervision required, sensitivity to the principles of equal employment opportunity, practical judgment in dealing with difficult situations or in solving problems, etc.

.An overall performance rating of 2 indicates the employee is not eligible for a periodic step increase (PSI). An employee must have an overall rating level of 3 or higher to qualify for a PSI.

Section E--Certification by Supervisor
.When for any reason the supervisor does
not show the employee the PAR or discuss it with him or her, the explanation
must be prepared in writing and attached
to the PAR for the record.

Section F--Narrative Comments

1. By Supervisor

- .The first sentence of the narrative in reports on employees in trial period status must recommend for or against continued employment.
- The narrative comments of the supervisor must support the rating level given the employee. The connection with the performance standard must be made, and all remarks and observations should be limited to and relate directly to job behaviors of the employee in the performance of his or her official duties.

Approved For Release 2005/12/14: CIA-RDP92-00420R000400040007-9

- .It is important that supervisors provide sufficient explanation and detail in this section so that career panels and boards will understand the basis for the overall rating. Management officials need to know what employees' strengths and weaknesses are; they depend largely on supervisory officials for this information.
- .The following factors must be addressed in reports for supervisory and managerial employees. The supervisor's signature will certify that all factors have been considered and that the employee has done a satisfactory job in all respects. Specific comment is required when the supervisor evaluates the employee as being either deficient or more than satisfactory in any of these factors.

Subordinate management and develop-

Quality of performance appraisals Delegation of responsibility Equal employment opportunity Use of personnel, space, equipment, funds, etc.

Goal setting and achievement Employee Certification

The employee's signature certifies that he or she has read the supervisors comments and the rating given for the report period and has discussed them with the supervisor. The signature must not be construed to mean that the employee necessarily agrees with the contents of the report.

By Reviewing Official

.The reviewing official must provide substantive comment on the individual being rated wherever possible. (In rare instances there may not be an appropriate reviewing official). If the reviewer is in substantial disagreement with the supervisor the evaluation should be discussed with the supervisor and the employee. The reviewing official's signature certifies that all requirements for completing the PAR in accordance with instructions have been met.

By Employee

.The employee's signature certifies that he or she has read the reviewer's comments and as indicated in number 3 does not mean that the employee necessarily agrees with them. Employees also have the option to comment on the evaluation they receive and should check () appropriately in the space provided. It is important that employees feel free to make their views known regarding their work performance. and they may choose to make them a matter of record. Any problems or strong disagreements which result from the PAR should be resolved at the rater or reviewer level. When this not be done employees may appeal their performance evaluations in accordance with HR 7-6.

SECTION C

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL DEFINITIONS

Individual Duty

- 1. Individual consistently fails to meet . . .
- Individual frequently fails to meet . . .
- Individual occasionally fails to meet . . .
- Individual fully meets . . .
- Individual occasionally exceeds . . .
- Individual frequently exceeds . . .
- Individual invariably exceeds . . . 7.

Overall Performance

Performance does not meet . . .

Performance frequently does not meet . . .

Performance generally meets . . .

Performance meets all established . . .

Performance occasionally exceeds . . .

Performance frequently exceeds . . .

Performance invariably exceeds . . .

Approved For Repase 2005/12/14: PERFORMANCE AF	CIA-RDP92-00420F 9400040007-9 PRAISAL REPORT See 45i for instructions)
Section A General 1	nformation
1. Soc. Sec. Number 2. Name (Last, First, Midd	1e) 3. S.D. 4. Sched 5. Grade
6. Affiliation	7. Occupational Title
8. Office/Division/Branch of Assignment	9. Current Station 10. Hqs.
ll Reporting Period	12. Date Report Due in OP 13. Type of Report
Section B Qualificat	ions Update
Qualifications Update (Form 444N) is is not	
	loyee Acknowledgement
I was informed specifically of the key elements of the job at the beginning of the report period. I was also informed of the performance standard(s) used for determining the ratings listed.	Typed or printed name and signature (employee)
Key Job Element No. 1	
Key Job Element No. 2	
Rey 300 Lichert No. 2	
Key Job Element No. 3	
	range in the second of the sec
Key Job Element No. 4	·
, 566 226	
Key Job Element No. 5	
• •	
Key Job Element No. 6	•
,	
**************************************	Performance Rating
Taking everything into account about the employed to be a solution of the employee's overall performance a	e which influences his/her effectiveness on the t this level.
Section E Certification	by Supervisor
	een under Interim Discussion(s) Reason for NOT showing employee this report is attached Yes No
Approved For Release 2005/12/14 :	CIA-RDP92-00420R000400040007-9

Section F	NABRATIV	E COMMENTS	04472000400040007.0	
Approve	telease 2003/ EZ/ 18	upervisor	04	
•			v	
	•	•	•	_
			·	
	•	•		. •
	·		•	- ,
	A			•
		•		
	•			
·				
	•			
	·			
·				
•		•		
				4
		•		
				•
	• .		·	
	•	•		
	•			
 Do + •	Title	T m.	amed on Drinted Nome and	Cianatura
Date	licie	1.	yped or Printed Name and	Signature
	Employee Co	ertification		
I have reviewed my superv discussed my job performa him/her. My signature do imply my agreement with e	visor's comments and Dance ratings with	ate T	yped or Printed Name and	Signature
him/her. My signature do	es not necessarily			•
imply my agreement with e	2. By Revie	wing Official		•
	,			
•	•		•	
	•	•		
•	•	•		
Date	Title	T	yped or Printed Name and	Signature
•			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	8
I have read my reviewing	officials comments	Employee	o not ottocked a state	mont con
I have read my reviewing My signature does not nec agreement with them.	essarily imply my	taining my co	e not attached a stater omments about this Perfo	rmance
Date	Position Title	Appraisal Re	port. yped or Printed Name and	
:	. OSTCION TICLE	† *	pod of fifficed name and	Orginacaro
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				